

Differentiability of Scalar Functions Applied to Hermitian Operators – a Fourier Transform Approach

Eliahu Levy

Department of Mathematics

Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel

email: eliahu@techunix.technion.ac.il

Abstract

Let g be a (say, sufficiently differentiable) scalar function on the reals. One knows how to apply g to Hermitian elements A of a C^* -algebra. Yet the question of differentiability of $A \mapsto g(A)$ is not trivial, since in general “ A and dA do not commute”. However, since the mapping from g to $A \mapsto g(A)$ is linear, one can, via Fourier Transform, reduce the case of general g to the case $g(x) = \exp(x)$. For the latter one has an explicit formula for the n -th Fréchet derivative (more complicated than in the scalar case – still A and dA do not commute!). In this way, one bounds the norm of the n -th (Fréchet) derivative of $A \mapsto g(A)$ on a ball of radius r by a Sobolev norm involving the $(n+1)$ -th derivative of g on the interval $[-r; r]$.

Contents

1	Derivatives of Functions Between Banach Spaces	1
2	Polynomials and Entire Functions	4
3	The Derivatives of the Exponential Function	6
4	Applying a C^∞ -function to Hermitians in a C^* -algebra	11
5	Bounding seminorms of g_* by the seminorms of g	13

1 Derivatives of Functions Between Banach Spaces

We assume known the notion of integral of a function having values in a locally convex space E ($\int f$ being defined as the member of E such that for any continuous linear functional $\tilde{x}$ on E $\tilde{x}(\int f) = \int \tilde{x} \circ f$), as well as the theorems ensuring the existence of the integral if E is quasi-complete (in particular, a Fréchet space), and either f is continuous from a compact space K to E and the integration is on a finite measure on K (i.e. with finite mass), or f is

continuous from a locally compact space to E and the integration is on a positive measure, finite on any compact and such that for each topology-defining seminorm ρ on E $\int \rho \circ f < \infty$.

For E a Banach space, $x \in E$ and $r > 0$ denote

$$B_r := \{y \in E \mid \|y\| < r\}, \quad B_r(x) := \{y \in E \mid \|y - x\| < r\}$$

If E and F are Banach spaces denote by $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ the Banach space of the bounded linear operators from E to F . For $n \geq 0$ integer denote by $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}(E, F)$ the Banach space of bounded n -multi-linear operators from E^n to F . One may identify $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}(E, F) = F$, $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}(E, F) = \mathcal{L}(E, F)$, $\mathcal{L}^{(n+1)}(E, F) = \mathcal{L}(E, \mathcal{L}^{(n)}(E, F))$.

We shall need the following

Proposition 1 *Let E and F be Banach spaces, let $U \subset E$ be open and let $f : U \rightarrow F$, $g : U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ be continuous. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that g is the Fréchet derivative of f on U is that for any $x \in U$ and for any $v \in E$ in some open ball around x contained in U one has*

$$f(x+v) - f(x) = \int_0^1 \langle g(x+tv), v \rangle dt. \quad (1)$$

Proof If g is even the Gâteaux derivative of f on U , $x \in U$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B_\varepsilon(x) \subset U$, then for all $v \in B_\varepsilon(x)$ the function $t \mapsto f(x+tv)$ is continuous on $[0, 1]$ and has on $]0, 1[$ the continuous derivative $t \mapsto \langle g(x+tv), v \rangle$. Hence (1) follows from the fundamental theorem of calculus (for Banach-space valued functions).

Conversely, if (1) holds for all $x \in U$ for v in some open ball $B_\varepsilon(x)$ around x , then one has

$$\|f(x+v) - f(x) - \langle g(x), v \rangle\| = \left\| \int_0^1 \langle g(x+tv) - g(x), v \rangle dt \right\| \leq \left(\sup_{\|y-x\| \leq \|v\|} \|g(y) - g(x)\| \right) \|v\|,$$

and by the continuity of g at x and the definition of Fréchet derivative one has $f'(x) = g(x)$.

QED

For K a (Hausdorff) compact topological space and F a Banach space denote by $\mathcal{C}(K, F)$ the Banach space of continuous functions $K \rightarrow F$ with the sup norm.

Proposition 2 *Let K be Hausdorff compact and let E, F be Banach spaces. Let $U \subset E$ be open and $f : U \times K \rightarrow F$ continuous. Then the function $\tilde{f} : U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(K, F)$ given by $\tilde{f}(x) := (s \mapsto f(x, s))$ is continuous.*

Proof Let $x \in U$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $s \in K$ there is an open neighborhood W_s and a $\delta_s > 0$ so that $s' \in W_s$ and $\|x' - x\| < \delta_s$ imply $\|f(x', s') - f(x, s)\| < \varepsilon$, hence, since also $\|f(x, s') - f(x, s)\| < \varepsilon$ one gets $\|f(x', s') - f(x, s')\| < 2\varepsilon$. Since K is compact, there exist $s_1, \dots, s_n \in K$ with $\cup W_{s_i} = K$. Take $\delta = \min_i \delta_{s_i}$. Then for $\|x' - x\| < \delta$ we have for all $s' \in K$ $\|f(x', s') - f(x, s')\| < 2\varepsilon$ thus

$$\|\tilde{f}(x') - \tilde{f}(x)\| = \sup_{s \in K} \|f(x', s) - f(x, s)\| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

QED

Proposition 3 *Retaining the setting of Prop. 2, assume f has a (“partial”) Fréchet derivative w.r.t. x everywhere in $U \times K$, and this derivative, as a function $g : U \times K \rightarrow L(E, F)$ is continuous. Then $\tilde{f}$ has a continuous derivative in U , that derivative being the function $\tilde{g} : U \rightarrow L(E, \mathcal{C}(K, F))$ given by $\tilde{g}(x) := v \mapsto (s \mapsto \langle g(x, s), v \rangle)$ ($v \in E, s \in K$).*

Proof Firstly, for each $v \in E$ the function $s \mapsto \langle g(x, s), v \rangle$ is continuous on K hence belongs to $\mathcal{C}(K, F)$. Moreover, $\tilde{g}$ is continuous from U to $L(E, \mathcal{C}(K, F))$ since

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \langle \tilde{g}(x'), v \rangle (s) - \langle \tilde{g}(x), v \rangle (s) \| = \| \langle g(x', s), v \rangle - \langle g(x, s), v \rangle \| \leq \\ & \left(\sup_{s \in K} \|g(x', s) - g(x, s)\| \right) \|v\| \end{aligned}$$

and the last supremum tends to 0 as $x' \rightarrow x$ by Prop. 2.

Thus, to prove $D\tilde{f} = \tilde{g}$ we need only to prove the equality of Prop. 1:

$$f(x + v) - f(x) = \int_0^1 \langle g(x + tv), v \rangle dt, \quad (1)$$

which will hold if substituting any s would give equality, i.e. if

$$f(x + v, s) - f(x, s) = \int_0^1 \langle g(x + tv, s), v \rangle dt$$

which follows from Prop. 1, g being the “partial” derivative of f .

QED

Corollary 4 *If, in the setting of Prop. 2 and 3, μ is a finite measure on K then in U :*

$$\langle \frac{d}{dx} \int f(x, s) d\mu(s), v \rangle = \int \langle g(x, s), v \rangle d\mu(s).$$

Proof This follows from Prop. 3 and from the fact that if one denotes, for $h \in \mathcal{C}(K, F)$, $\mu(h) := \int h(s) d\mu(s)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int f(x, s) d\mu(s) &= \mu(\tilde{f}(x)) \\ \int \langle g(x, s), v \rangle d\mu(s) &= \int \langle \tilde{g}(x), v \rangle (s) d\mu(s) = \mu(\langle \tilde{g}(x), v \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

QED

Let now E and F be Banach spaces, and denote by $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(E, F)$ the linear space of functions $f : E \rightarrow F$ with continuous derivatives of any order on all of E , these derivatives bounded on any bounded subset of E , with the locally convex topology defined by the seminorms

$$\rho_{n,r}(f) := \sup_{\|x\| < r} \|f^{(n)}(x)\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq r} \|f^{(n)}(x)\| \quad r > 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2)$$

(the two suprema are equal since $f^{(n)}$ is continuous on E).

This space is metrizable, being Hausdorff with topology defined by a countable set of seminorms (take also $r > 0$ integer). Also, this space is topologically isomorphic to the subspace Y of the product X of the Banach spaces $X_{n,r}$ ($n \geq 0$ and $r > 0$ integers), $X_{n,r}$ being the space of continuous bounded functions from B_r to $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}(E, F)$ with sup norm, where an element of the product of $X_{n,r}$ is defined to be in Y if it satisfies compatibility conditions: that for any r and n the $n+1, r$ coordinate be the derivative of the n, r coordinate and the n, r coordinate coincide with the restriction of the $n, r+1$ coordinate to B_r . All these conditions give closed sets (by Prop. 1). Hence Y is closed in the product X , so $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(E, F)$ is complete.

One concludes that $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(E, F)$ is a Fréchet space.

For $E = F$ denote $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(E) := \mathcal{BC}^\infty(E, E)$.

2 Derivatives of Polynomials and Entire Functions in a Banach Algebra

From now on, A will be a Banach algebra with unit (denoted by 1), we also assume $\|1\| = 1$, $\|xy\| \leq \|x\|\|y\|$, $x, y \in A$.

Denote by S_n ($n \geq 0$ integer) the set of permutations in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. S_n has $n!$ elements.

Denote by $P_{n,k}$ ($n \geq 0$, k integers) the set of sequences $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with $\alpha_i \geq 0$ integers and $\sum \alpha_i = k$.

Let us find how many members $P_{n,k}$ has. $P_{n,k}$ has 1-1 correspondence with the set of sequences $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ with β_i integers, $\beta_i \leq \beta_{i+1}$, $\beta_1 \geq 0$, $\beta_n \leq k$ (just correspond $\alpha_i = \beta_{i+1} - \beta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $\alpha_0 = \beta_0$, $\alpha_n = k - \beta_n$.) The set of β 's has 1-1 correspondence with the set of $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ with γ_i integers, $\gamma_i < \gamma_{i+1}$, $\gamma_1 \geq 1$, $\gamma_n \leq n+k$ (just let $\gamma_i = \beta_i + i$). The γ 's can be identified with the subsets of n elements in $\{1, \dots, n+k\}$. One concludes that $P_{n,k}$ has $\binom{n+k}{n}$ elements.

Theorem 1 *For every integer $k \geq 0$ the function $x \mapsto x^k$ on A is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ and its n -th derivative is given by ($v_i \in A$):*

$$\langle D^n(x^k), v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n \rangle = \sum_{\phi \in S_n} \sum_{\alpha \in P_{n, k-n}} x^{\alpha_0} v_{\phi(1)} x^{\alpha_1} v_{\phi(2)} \dots x^{\alpha_{n-1}} v_{\phi(n)} x^{\alpha_n} \quad (3)$$

(if $k - n < 0$ $P_{n, k-n}$ is empty and the sum is 0).

Proof The function $x \mapsto x^k$ is clearly continuous (and bounded on bounded subsets of A).

Let $k > 0$. To obtain the first derivative of $x \mapsto x^k$, note that it is a composition of the multilinear function from A^k to A $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ and the linear $x \mapsto (x, x, \dots, x)$. Hence x^k is $\mathcal{C}^1$ with the continuous derivative given by

$$\langle D(x^k), v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k x^{i-1} v x^{k-i} = \sum_{\alpha \in P_{1, k-1}} x^{\alpha_0} v x^{\alpha_1}$$

agreeing with (2.1) for $n = 1$.

For $k = 0$ and $n > 1$ we have $x^0 = 1$ so $D^n(x^0) = 0$, and the RHS in (2.1) is also 0 since $P_{n,-n} = \emptyset$.

The assertion holds also for $n = 0$. Indeed, then the LHS is x^k , and in the RHS $S_0 = \emptyset$, $P_{0,k} = \{(k)\}$ so the RHS is also x^k .

Proceed now by induction, assuming that $n \geq 1$, x^k is $\mathcal{C}^n$ and (3) holds for n . Firstly (3) for n implies that $D^n(x^k)$ obtains from composition of a bounded multilinear function with the $\mathcal{C}^1$ functions x^{α_i} , so $D^n(x^k)$ is $\mathcal{C}^1$, making x^k $\mathcal{C}^{n+1}$. To prove (3) for $n + 1$, differentiate the expression in the RHS in (3) for n , using the same (3) for $n = 1$ which we obtained above:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle D^{n+1}(x^k), v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes w \rangle = \\ & \sum_{\phi \in S_n} \sum_{\alpha \in P_{n,k-n}} \sum_{i=1}^n x^{\alpha_0} v_{\phi(1)} \cdots x^{\alpha_{i-1}} v_{\phi(i)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_i} x^{j-1} w x^{\alpha_i-j} \right) v_{\phi(i+1)} x^{\alpha_{i+1}} \cdots v_{\phi(n)} x^{\alpha_n}. \end{aligned}$$

And one easily convinces oneself that the RHS here is exactly the RHS of (3) for $n + 1$, with $v_{n+1} = w$, where the summand corresponding to a $\phi \in S_n$, an $0 \leq i \leq n$, an $\alpha \in P_{n,k-n}$ and a $1 \leq j \leq \alpha_i$ would correspond in the RHS of (3) for $n + 1$ to the $\psi \in S_{n+1}$ with

$$\psi(m) = \begin{cases} \phi(m) & 1 \leq m \leq i \\ n+1 & m = i+1 \\ \phi(m-1) & i+1 < m \leq n+1 \end{cases}$$

and to the sequence

$$(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, j-1, \alpha_i-j, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_n) \in P_{n+1,k-n-1}.$$

QED

Corollary 5 For integer $k \geq 0$ the function $x \mapsto x^k$ is in $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A)$ with seminorms (for $m < 0$ define $\frac{1}{m!} = 0$):

$$\rho_{n,r}(x^k) \leq \frac{k!}{(k-n)!} r^{k-n}. \quad (4)$$

Proof This follows from Thm. 1, from S_n having $n!$ elements and $P_{n,k-n}$ $\binom{k}{n}$ elements, and from the inequality, holding for $\|x\| \leq r$ (with the notation of (3)):

$$\left\| x^{\alpha_0} v_{\phi(1)} x^{\alpha_1} v_{\phi(2)} \cdots x^{\alpha_{n-1}} v_{\phi(n)} x^{\alpha_n} \right\| \leq r^{k-n} \|v_1\| \|v_2\| \cdots \|v_n\|$$

QED

Theorem 2 Let $(a_k)_{k=0,1,\dots}$ be a sequence of complex numbers with $|a_k|^{1/k} \rightarrow 0$ (i.e. so that the complex function $\sum a_k z^k$ is entire). Then the series for $x \in A$

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k \quad (5)$$

converges absolutely for all $x \in A$, converges in $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A)$ as a series of elements of that space hence defines an element (function) belonging to $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A)$.

Proof $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A)$ being a Fréchet space, to prove convergence of the series in $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A)$ it suffices to prove “absolute convergence” w.r.t. the defining seminorms, i.e. to prove that for $n \geq 0$ integer and $r > 0$ the following series converges:

$$\sum_k \rho_{n,r}(a_k x^k) = \sum_k |a_k| \rho_{n,r}(x^k) \leq^{(4)} \sum_k |a_k| \frac{k!}{(k-n)!} r^{k-n} \leq r^{-n} \sum_k |a_k| k^n r^k.$$

And the last series converges since

$$\left(|a_k| k^n r^k\right)^{1/k} = |a_k|^{1/k} (k^{1/k})^n r \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

The absolute convergence of the series (5) at each $x \in A$ (to the value at x of the element that the series defines in $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A)$) follows from the fact that evaluation at x is a continuous operator on $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A)$ (because for $g \in \mathcal{BC}^\infty(A)$, if $\|x\| < r$ then $\|g(x)\| \leq \rho_{0,r}(g)$).

QED

Remark 1 Retaining the setting of Thm. 2, if one replaces a_k by $a_k t^k$ for some complex t , then also $|a_k t^k|^{1/k} = |t| |a_k|^{1/k} \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$, so the function

$$x \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^k x^k = f(tx) \quad (6)$$

is in $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A)$. Moreover, the computations in seminorms in the proof of Thm. 2 show that the series (6) converges, as a series of elements of $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A)$, uniformly in t on every disk $|t| \leq R$. Hence the $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A)$ -values function on the complex plane $t \mapsto (x \mapsto f(tx))$ is continuous.

3 The Derivatives of the Exponential Function

Take in Thm. 2 $a_k = \frac{1}{k!}$. For any fixed natural m , for $k > m$

$$|a_k|^{1/k} = \left(\frac{1}{k!}\right)^{1/k} \leq \left(\frac{1}{m! k^{k-m}}\right)^{1/k} \leq \left(\frac{1}{m!}\right)^{1/k} \left(k^{1/k}\right)^m \frac{1}{k} \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

hence $|a_k|^{1/k} \rightarrow_k 0$ – of course the complex function $\exp z = \sum \frac{z^k}{k!}$ is entire. By Thm. 2, the same series defines a function $A \rightarrow A$, also denoted by $\exp$:

$$\exp x := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!}, \quad (7)$$

and this function is a member of $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A)$.

Clearly $\exp 0 = 1$ and for $x \in A$ any element of x that commutes with x commutes with $\exp x$. For commuting $x, y \in A$ consider the family of elements of A $\left(\frac{1}{k!m!} x^k y^m \right)_{k,m=0,1,\dots}$. The sum of norms of this family $< \infty$, hence this family is unconditionally summable in A . Grouping its elements varying k then varying m one finds that its sum is $(\exp x)(\exp y)$, while by grouping (k, m) according to $k + m$ one gets $\exp(x + y)$ for the sum. Thus the exponent in A has the characteristic property, for *commuting* $x, y \in A$:

$$\exp(x + y) = (\exp x)(\exp y). \quad (8)$$

For $x \in A$ and complex t one has

$$\exp(tx) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tx)^k}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} x^k t^k.$$

We wish to take derivatives w.r.t. t . The series of t -derivatives of the terms gives:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} x^k k t^{k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} x^k t^{k-1} = x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} x^k t^k.$$

This series sums to $x(\exp(tx)) = (\exp(tx))x$. Since each term and the sum are continuous in t for both the series for $\exp(tx)$ and the series of term-by-term derivatives, and both series converge uniformly on closed disks in t (by Remark 1), and since the relation of being the derivative behaves for continuous functions as in Prop. 1, one finally concludes:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \exp(tx) = x(\exp(tx)) = (\exp(tx))x. \quad (9)$$

We wish to compute the higher derivatives of $\exp x$ w.r.t. x . Contrary to the complex case, the problem here, as mentioned above, is that “ x and dx do not commute”. One might try to use the series (7), but we shall proceed differently.

Proposition 6 *For $x, v \in A$:*

$$< D(\exp x), v > = \int_0^1 \exp(tx) v \exp((1-t)x) dt.$$

Proof Consider the A -valued function of two real variables:

$$f(s, t) = \exp(t(x + sv)) \exp(-tx)$$

We know that f is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$. One has, by (9):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s} f(s, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(s, t) = \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} [\exp(t(x + sv))(x + sv) \exp(-tx) + \exp(t(x + sv))(-x) \exp(-tx)] &= \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} [s \exp(t(x + sv))v \exp(-tx)] &= \\ s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} [\exp(t(x + sv))] v \exp(-tx) + \exp(t(x + sv))v \exp(-tx). \end{aligned}$$

Substitute $s = 0$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} f(s, t) \Big|_{s=0} \right) = \exp(tx)v \exp(-tx). \quad (10)$$

Also $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} f(s, 0) \Big|_{s=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} 1 = 0$, hence (10) gives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} f(s, 1) \Big|_{s=0} = \int \exp(tx)v \exp(-tx) dt. \quad (11)$$

But by the definition of f :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} f(s, 1) \Big|_{s=0} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \exp(x + sv) \Big|_{s=0} \right) \exp(-x) = \langle D(\exp x), v \rangle \exp(-x),$$

which together with (11) gives our assertion.

QED

Corollary 7 For $s \geq 0$, $x, v \in A$:

$$\langle \frac{d}{dx}(\exp(sx)), v \rangle = \int_0^s \exp(tx)v \exp((s-t)x) dt.$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \frac{d}{dx}(\exp(sx)), v \rangle &= \langle \frac{d}{dy}(\exp y) \Big|_{y=sx}, sv \rangle = \\ &= s \int_0^1 \exp(t_1 sx)v \exp((1-t_1)sx) dt_1 =_{t=st_1} \int_0^s \exp(tx)v \exp((s-t)x) dt \end{aligned}$$

QED

We shall need the n -**simplex** Δ_n . Let $\mathbf{R}^{n+1} := \mathbf{R}^{\{0,1,\dots,n\}}$. Let L_n be the n -dimensional hyperplane

$$L_n := \{t \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^n t_i = 0\}.$$

Let ω_n be a translation-invariant n -form in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ such that

$$\omega_n \wedge \left(\sum_{i=0}^n dt_i \right) = \pm \bigwedge_{i=0}^n dt_i. \quad (12)$$

This does not determine ω_n uniquely, but determines the Haar measure that ω_n induces on hyperplanes parallel to L_n . We call it **Lebesgue measure** on such hyperplanes and denote it by λ_n .

The n -simplex Δ_n is defined as:

$$\Delta_n := \{t \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \mid \forall_i t_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=0}^n t_i = 1\}.$$

It lies on a hyperplane parallel to L_n .

For each $n \geq 1, 1 \leq k \leq n$ we have a linear mapping $T_{n,k} : \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$:

$$T_{n,k}(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) = (t_0, \dots, t_{k-2}, t_{k-1} + t_k, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_n).$$

$T_{n,k}$ maps Δ_n onto Δ_{n-1} . If we denote by $T_{n,k}^* \omega_{n-1}$ the pull-back of ω_{n-1} (which is a translation-invariant $n-1$ -form in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$), then by pulling back (12) one gets:

$$T_{n,k}^* \omega_{n-1} \wedge \left(\sum_{i=0}^n dt_i \right) = \pm (dt_{k-1} + dt_k) \wedge \bigwedge_{i \neq k-1, k} dt_i.$$

Hence if $\eta_{n,k}$ is any translation-invariant 1-form on $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ such that

$$\eta_{n,k} \wedge (dt_{k-1} + dt_k) = \pm dt_{k-1} \wedge dt_k \quad (13)$$

(say, $\eta_{n,k} = dt_{k-1}$ or $\eta_{n,k} = dt_k$), then $T_{n,k}^* \omega_{n-1} \wedge \eta_{n,k}$ may serve as ω_n . Thus

Corollary 8 *Let $\eta_{k,n}$ as above satisfy (13). Then to integrate over Δ_n w.r.t. λ_n , one may integrate over the fibers of $T_{n,k}$ w.r.t. $|\eta_{n,k}|$ and then integrate over Δ_{n-1} w.r.t. λ_{n-1} .*

Proposition 9

$$\lambda_n(\Delta_n) = \frac{1}{n!}.$$

Proof for $n = 0$ $\Delta_0 = \{1\}$ and $\omega_0 = 1$ (note that ω_0 , being a translation-invariant 0-form, may be viewed as a real number). L_0 is a singleton, to which λ_0 gives the mass 1, hence $\lambda_0(\Delta_0) = 1$.

To pass from $n - 1$ to n ($n \geq 1$), take $\eta_{n,n} = dt_n$ to have

$$\omega_n = T_{n,n}^* \omega_{n-1} \wedge dt_n.$$

Hence to integrate λ_n over Δ_n , one may integrate $T_{n,n}^* \omega_{n-1}$ over the slices $t_n = 1 - s$ and then integrate over $|ds|$ on $s \in [0, 1]$. These slices are

$$\{t \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \mid (t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in s \cdot \Delta_{n-1}, t_n = s - 1\}$$

and there, for $t \in s \cdot \Delta_{n-1}$,

$$T_{n,n}(t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}, 1 - s) = (t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} + 1 - s)$$

so integration w.r.t. $T_{n,n}^* \omega_{n-1}$ transfers to integration w.r.t. λ_{n-1} over $s \cdot \Delta_{n-1}$. Hence one concludes:

$$\lambda_n(\Delta_n) = \int_0^1 \lambda_{n-1}(s \cdot \Delta_{n-1}) ds = \lambda_{n-1}(\Delta_{n-1}) \cdot \int_0^1 s^{n-1} ds = \frac{1}{n} \lambda_{n-1}(\Delta_{n-1})$$

and our assertion follows.

QED

Combining Corollaries 7 and 8 and using Corollary 4, one may compute the first derivative of an expression of the form

$$\int_{t \in \Delta_n} M(\exp(t_0 x), \exp(t_1 x), \dots, \exp(t_n x)) d\lambda_n(t),$$

where $M : A^{n+1} \rightarrow A$ is bounded multilinear. Its derivative, computed at $v \in A$ will be

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \int_{t \in \Delta_{n+1}} M(\exp(t_0 x), \dots, \exp(t_{k-2} x), \exp(t_{k-1} x) v, \exp(t_k x), \exp(t_{k+1} x), \dots, \exp(t_{n+1} x)) d\lambda_{n+1}(t).$$

Since this is a similar expression, one may use the same formula to differentiate again. In this way one gets (by induction on n) the formula for the n -th derivative of $\exp x$, namely:

Theorem 3 *Let A be a Banach algebra. For $x \in A$, $v_1, \dots, v_n \in A$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D^n(\exp x), v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n \rangle = \\ \sum_{\phi \in S_n} \int_{\Delta_n} \exp(t_0 x) v_{\phi(1)} \exp(t_1 x) v_{\phi(2)} \dots \exp(t_{n-1} x) v_{\phi(n)} \exp(t_n x) d\lambda_n(t). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

QED

4 Applying a C^∞ -function to Hermitian elements of a C^* -algebra

In this section we assume A is a unital C^* -algebra. Denote by A_0 the real Banach space of Hermitian elements in A . For $x \in A_0$ denote by B_x the unital C^* -algebra generated by x , which is commutative, and by $\text{Spec } x$ the spectrum of x w.r.t. B_x . $\text{Spec } x$ is a compact subset of $\mathbf{R}$ and B_x is isomorphic as a C^* -algebra to the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{C}(\text{Spec } x)$ of all continuous complex functions on $\text{Spec } x$, indeed there is a unique $*$ -isomorphism $\Phi_x : \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec } x) \rightarrow B_x$ mapping the function t (the inclusion function to $\mathbf{C}$) to x .

Remark $\text{Spec } x$ is also the spectrum of x w.r.t. A . Indeed, for $t_0 \in \mathbf{C}$, if $t_0 \notin \text{Spec } x$ then $x - t_0 \cdot 1$ is invertible in B_x hence in A . If $t_0 \in \text{Spec } x$ then there are $g_n \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec } x)$ so that $\sup_{t \in \text{Spec } x} |g_n(t)| = 1$ but $\sup_{t \in \text{Spec } x} |(t - t_0)g_n(t)| \rightarrow_n 0$. Hence $\|\Phi_x(g_n)\| = 1$ and $\|(x - t_0 \cdot 1)\Phi_x(g_n)\| \rightarrow_n 0$. If $x - t_0 \cdot 1$ were invertible in A that would imply

$$1 = \|\Phi_x(g_n)\| \leq \|(x - t_0 \cdot 1)^{-1}\| \|(x - t_0 \cdot 1)\Phi_x(g_n)\| \rightarrow_n 0.$$

Let $g : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be continuous. For $x \in A_0$ we denote by g also the restriction of g to $\text{Spec } x$, and define $\Phi_x(g) \in A$ as **the value of g applied to x** and denote it by $g(x)$. Thus g induces a function $A_0 \rightarrow A$, which will be denoted by g_* .

Proposition 10 *Let $x \in A_0$ and $s \in \mathbf{R}$. Then the function $t \mapsto \exp(ist)$ applied to x gives $\exp(isx)$ in the sense of §3, and $\|\exp(isx)\| = 1$.*

Proof The complex exponential series converges uniformly on compacta. Therefore, uniformly for $t \in \text{Spec } x$, we have

$$\exp(ist) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (is)^k t^k.$$

Applying the continuous homomorphism Φ_x which maps the function t to x , one obtains

$$\Phi_x(t \mapsto \exp(ist)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (is)^k x^k,$$

which is $\exp(isx)$ in the sense of §3. Also, $\text{Spec } x \subset \mathbf{R}$ hence $\exp(ist)$ has for each t the absolute value 1, so its norm is 1 and since Φ_x is an isometry, $\|\exp(isx)\| = 1$.

QED

We shall use the **Fourier transform** on $\mathbf{R}$. For $g \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$ we take its Fourier transform as

$$\hat{g}(s) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \exp(-ist) g(t) dt. \quad (15)$$

(This means that we take on $\mathbf{R}$ the Haar measure which is $1/(2\pi)$ times Lebesgue, so on the dual s -line we will have to take the Haar measure = Lebesgue.) It is well-known that for $g \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$, $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbf{R})$, and if $\hat{g}$ also happens to be in $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ then

$$g(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \exp(ist) \hat{g}(s) ds. \quad (16)$$

Consider Schwartz's space $\mathcal{S}$ – the linear space of complex C^∞ functions $g(t)$ on $\mathbf{R}$ such that for all nonnegative integers n, k , $|t|^k |g^{(n)}(t)|$ is bounded, with Fréchet space structure defined by the seminorms $\sup_t |t|^k |g^{(n)}(t)|$ for all n, k . It is well-known that if $g \in \mathcal{S}$ then $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{S}$ and (16) holds, and that the mapping $g \mapsto \hat{g}$ is a topological automorphism of $\mathcal{S}$.

Denote $\mathcal{C}^\infty := \mathcal{BC}^\infty(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C})$. This is the Fréchet space of all complex (generally unbounded) C^∞ functions on $\mathbf{R}$. Denote by $(\mathcal{C}^\infty)^*$ the dual space, whose members may be viewed as the complex distributions on $\mathbf{R}$ with compact support.

Theorem 4 *If $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$ then $g_* : A_0 \rightarrow A$ belongs to $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A_0, A)$.*

Proof We have to prove g_* is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ with bounded derivatives on $\|x\| < r$ for all r . But for $\|x\| < r$, $g(x) = g_*(x)$ depends only on the restriction of g to $\text{Spec } x$ contained in $[-r; r]$ hence it is equal to $g_1(x)$ if $g_1 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$ coincides with g on $[-r; r]$. We may take g_1 with compact support. Hence it suffices to prove the proposition for g with compact support. Such g is in $\mathcal{S}$, has a Fourier transform $\hat{g}$ and (16) holds pointwise in t .

Note, that by §2 and §3, for each $s \in \mathbf{R}$ $x \mapsto \exp(isx)$ belongs to $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A_0, A)$ and

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} \exp(isx) = (is)^n D^n(\exp y)|_{y=isx}.$$

For $x \in A_0$, Thm. 3 gives a formula (14) for $D^n(\exp y)$ and Prop. 10 says that the exponents appearing in the integral in (14) have norm 1. Also, S_n has $n!$ elements and $\lambda_n(\Delta_n) = 1/(n!)$. Thus one concludes that $\|D^n(\exp y)|_{y=isx}\| \leq 1$ hence

$$\left\| \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \exp(isx) \right\| \leq |s|^n.$$

So, if we denote the element $x \mapsto \exp(isx)$ of $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A_0, A)$ by e_s , then $\rho_{n,r}(e_s) \leq |s|^n$ independently of r . Also, by Remark 1 the function $s \mapsto e_s$ is continuous from $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{BC}^\infty(A_0, A)$. Return now to g . Since $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{S}$, $\int |s|^n |\hat{g}(s)| ds < \infty$ i.e. $\int \rho_{n,r}(e_s) |\hat{g}(s)| ds < \infty$. Therefore in $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A_0, A)$ the following integral exists:

$$g_1 = \int_{\mathbf{R}} e_s \hat{g}(s) ds \quad (17)$$

and, of course, $g_1 \in \mathcal{BC}^\infty(A_0, A)$. For any $x \in A_0$ we may apply to (17) the evaluation operator mapping each element of $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A_0, A)$ to its value at x , to obtain

$$g_1(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \exp(isx) \hat{g}(s) ds. \quad (18)$$

On the other hand, for $s \in \mathbf{R}$ the element of $\mathcal{C}(\text{Spec } x)$ $t \mapsto \exp(ist)$ has norm 1 and by Prop. 2 the function mapping each s to this element is continuous. Therefore the integral $\exp(ist)\hat{g}(s) ds$ exists in $\mathcal{C}(\text{Spec } x)$ and by (16) has for each $t \in \text{Spec } x$ the value $g(t)$. Hence the value of this integral in $\mathcal{C}(\text{Spec } x)$ is (the restriction of) g , and applying Φ_x gives in B_x hence in A

$$g(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \exp(isx)\hat{g}(s) ds$$

which with (18) gives $g(x) = g_1(x)$ hence $g_* = g_1$ implying $g_* \in \mathcal{BC}^\infty(A_0, A)$.

QED

5 Bounding seminorms of g_* by the seminorms of g

We first consider $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ and its dual $(\mathcal{C}^\infty)^*$ – the space of complex distributions with compact support.

For $G \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty)^*$, define its **Fourier transform** as the function on $s \in \mathbf{C}$

$$\hat{G}(s) := \langle G, t \mapsto \exp(-ist) \rangle. \quad (19)$$

By the definition of the topology in $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ and Prop. 3, the function mapping $s \in \mathbf{C}$ to $[t \mapsto \exp(-ist)] \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$ is differentiable in s with derivative the operator ($v \in \mathbf{C}$) $v \mapsto [t \mapsto -it \exp(-ist)v]$. (Apply Prop. 3 separately for derivatives of each order and for each compact interval of t .) This means that this mapping, as a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -valued function on $\mathbf{C}$, is entire. Consequently also $\hat{G}$ is entire. Moreover, one easily finds that in $\mathcal{C}^\infty$, for *real* s , $\rho_{n,k}(t \mapsto \exp(-ist)) \leq |s|^k$, hence for $s \in \mathbf{R}$ $\hat{G}$ is bounded by a polynomial.

Suppose now that $g \in \mathcal{S}$. Then since $\int |s|^k |\hat{g}(s)| ds < \infty$, one finds that $\int \hat{g}(s) \exp(-ist) ds$ exists in $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ and its value in each t is, by (16), $g(-t)$, hence its value in $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ must be the function $t \mapsto g(-t)$. Applying G one obtains:

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{g}(s) \hat{G}(s) ds = \langle G, t \mapsto g(-t) \rangle \quad G \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty)^*, g \in \mathcal{S},$$

or, written differently,

$$\langle G, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{G}(s) \hat{g}(-s) ds = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{G}(-s) \hat{g}(s) ds. \quad G \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty)^*, g \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (20)$$

Let now $G \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty)^*$, $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$. The linear operator $f \mapsto fg$ from $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ to itself is continuous, and we define (as usual) the product $gG \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty)^*$ by

$$\langle gG, f \rangle := \langle G, gf \rangle \quad f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty.$$

For $g \in \mathcal{S}$, we have

$$(\widehat{gG})(u) = \langle gG, t \mapsto \exp(-iut) \rangle = \langle G, t \mapsto g(t) \exp(-iut) \rangle.$$

We wish to use (20). The Fourier transform of $t \mapsto g(t) \exp(-iut)$ is

$$s \mapsto \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \exp(-ist) g(t) \exp(-iut) dt = \widehat{g}(u+s)$$

and using (20) we find

$$(\widehat{gG})(u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \widehat{G}(s) \widehat{g}(u-s) ds \quad G \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty)^*, g \in \mathcal{S} \quad (21)$$

i.e. $(\widehat{gG})$ is the convolution of $\widehat{G}$ and $\widehat{g}$.

We turn now to derivatives and integrals. Denote by $\mathbf{1}$ the element of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ which is the constant function 1 and by δ the element of $(\mathcal{C}^\infty)^*$ given by $(g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty) \langle \delta, g \rangle := g(0)$.

The linear operator mapping each $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$ to its derivative is continuous. Also the linear operator mapping g to $\int_0 g := [t \mapsto \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau]$ (t may also be zero or negative) is continuous. For $G \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty)^*$, define G' (as usual) and $\int_0 G$ by

$$\langle G', g \rangle := -\langle G, g' \rangle \quad \left\langle \int_0 G, g \right\rangle := -\left\langle G, \int_0 g \right\rangle \quad g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty \quad (22)$$

Note that if $\text{supp } G$ (the support of G) is contained in $[-r; r]$, also $\text{supp } G'$ and $\text{supp } \int_0 G$ are contained in $[-r; r]$.

We have

$$\left\langle \left(\int_0 G \right)', g \right\rangle = -\left\langle \int_0 G, g' \right\rangle = \left\langle G, \int_0 (g') \right\rangle = \langle G, g - \langle \delta, g \rangle \mathbf{1} \rangle = \langle G, g \rangle - \langle G, \mathbf{1} \rangle \langle \delta, g \rangle.$$

Hence

$$\left(\int_0 G \right)' = G - \langle G, \mathbf{1} \rangle \delta. \quad (23)$$

For the Fourier transforms we have, by (19) and (22)

$$(\widehat{G'})(s) = \langle G', t \mapsto \exp(-ist) \rangle = -\langle G, t \mapsto -is \exp(-ist) \rangle = is \langle G, t \mapsto \exp(-ist) \rangle = is \widehat{G}(s).$$

Thus

$$(\widehat{G'})(s) = is \widehat{G}(s). \quad (24)$$

And by (23),

$$is \left(\widehat{\int_0 G} \right)(s) = \widehat{G}(s) - \langle G, \mathbf{1} \rangle \widehat{\delta}(s),$$

and since $\widehat{\delta} \equiv 1$ and $\langle G, \mathbf{1} \rangle = \widehat{G}(0)$, one concludes that for $s \neq 0$

$$\left(\widehat{\int_0 G} \right)(s) = \frac{1}{is} \left(\widehat{G}(s) - \widehat{G}(0) \right). \quad (25)$$

Now let $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$ $r > 0$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and we wish to bound the seminorm in $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A_0, A)$: $\rho_{n,r}(g_*)$. (The integrals in what follows are always on the whole $\mathbf{R}$.)

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$ with $f \equiv g$ on $[-r; r]$. For $x \in A_0$ with $\|x\| \leq r$ we have $g(x) = f(x)$, Therefore $\rho_{n,r}(g_*) = \rho_{n,r}(f_*)$. With the notation of the proof of Thm. 4, we have, in $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(A_0, A)$, $f_* = \int e_s \widehat{f}(s) ds$ hence

$$\rho_{n,r}(f_*) \leq \int \rho_{n,r}(e_s) |\widehat{f}(s)| ds \leq \int |s|^k |\widehat{f}(s)| ds.$$

Thus, if we denote by Y the closed affine subspace of $\mathcal{S}$:

$$Y := \{f \in \mathcal{S} \mid f \equiv g \text{ on } [-r; r]\},$$

then

$$\rho_{n,r}(g_*) \leq \inf_{f \in Y} \int |s|^n |\widehat{f}(s)| ds \quad (26)$$

Denote the infimum in the RHS by a . If $a = 0$ then $\rho_{n,r}(g_*) = 0$. If $a > 0$ then Y is disjoint from the set

$$U := \left\{ h \in \mathcal{S} \mid \int |s|^n |\widehat{h}(s)| ds < a \right\}.$$

U is convex, balanced and open in $\mathcal{S}$ (since $\int |s|^n |\widehat{f}(s)| ds$ is a continuous seminorm there). By a form of Hahn-Banach $\exists$ a (closed) hyperplane in $\mathcal{S}$ containing Y and disjoint from U . In other words, $\exists$ a continuous linear functional G on $\mathcal{S}$ (i.e. a temperate distribution) so that $G < a$ on U and $G = a$ on Y . In particular, G vanishes on differences of members of Y , i.e. on members of $\mathcal{S}$ vanishing identically on $[-r; r]$, which means that $\text{supp } G \subset [-r; r]$. Thus G may be seen as a member of $(\mathcal{C}^\infty)^*$.

Using (20) one may express G being $< a$ on U by:

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{S} \quad \int |s|^n |\widehat{h}(s)| ds < a \Rightarrow \left| \int \widehat{G}(-s) \widehat{h}(s) ds \right| < a$$

and since both sides are linear in h , we find

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{S} \quad \left| \int \widehat{G}(-s) \widehat{h}(s) ds \right| \leq \int |s|^n |\widehat{h}(s)| ds. \quad (27)$$

This implies $|\widehat{G}(s)| \leq |s|^n$. If $n \geq 1$ then $\widehat{G}(0) = 0$ and by (25), $|(\widehat{\int_0 G})(s)| \leq |s|^{n-1}$ for $s \neq 0$ and by continuity of $(\widehat{\int_0 G})$ also for $s = 0$. Since $\langle G, \mathbf{1} \rangle = \widehat{G}(0) = 0$, one find by (23) that $G = (\int_0 G)'$. Also $\text{supp } \int_0 G \subset [-r; r]$. Thus we found that if $n \geq 1$ then G is a derivative of a member of $(\mathcal{C}^\infty)^*$ with support contained in $[-r; r]$ and Fourier transform bounded by $|s|^{n-1}$ on $\mathbf{R}$. Proceeding by induction, we find that G is the n -th derivative of a $G_1 \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty)^*$ with $\text{supp } G_1 \subset [-r; r]$ and $|\widehat{G}_1(s)| \leq 1$ for real s .

Choose an $f \in Y$, i.e. $f \equiv g$ on $[-r; r]$. We have $|\langle G, f \rangle| = a$ hence $|\langle G, g \rangle| = a$, i.e. $a = \left| \langle G_1^{(n)}, g \rangle \right| = \left| \langle G_1, g^{(n)} \rangle \right|$. Recall that by (26) a was an upper bound to $\rho_{n,r}(g_*)$. Thus we conclude:

Theorem 5 *Let $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$, $r > 0$ and $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Then $\exists$ a $G \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty)^*$ with $\text{supp } G \subset [-r; r]$ and $|\widehat{G}(s)| \leq 1$ for $s \in \mathbf{R}$ so that*

$$\rho_{n,r}(g_*) \leq \left| \langle G, g^{(n)} \rangle \right|.$$

QED

To obtain an explicit bound, we apply:

Bernshtein's Theorem: *If G is as in Thm. 5 then for real s $\left|(\widehat{G})'(s)\right| \leq r$.*

Proof of Bernshtein's Theorem: We have seen that the derivative of the function $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty$ mapping s to $t \mapsto \exp(-ist)$ is the function mapping s to $t \mapsto -it \exp(-ist)$, hence

$$(\widehat{G})'(s) = \langle G, t \mapsto -it \exp(-it) \rangle. \quad (28)$$

Consider the circle $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}/(2\pi\mathbf{Z})$. We use the same notation for a $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and its image in $\mathbf{T}$. In $\mathbf{T}$ we take a Haar measure normalized to mass 1 for the whole $\mathbf{T}$. Consider the function on $\mathbf{T}$:

$$j(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2} - t & 0 \leq t \leq \pi \\ \frac{\pi}{2} + t & -\pi \leq t \leq 0 \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

j is even, continuous, and is easily seen to be $-\pi/2$ plus 2π times the convolution of the characteristic function of the interval $[-\pi/2; \pi/2]$ with itself. Hence all Fourier coefficients $\widehat{j}(m)$, $m \neq 0$ are non-negative, while clearly $\widehat{j}(0) = 0$.

Let $\pi/2 > \varepsilon > 0$. Choose a function f_ε on $\mathbf{T}$ non-negative, even, $\mathcal{C}^\infty$, supported in $[-\varepsilon; \varepsilon]$, with integral 1 and with non-negative Fourier coefficients (the last requirement can be achieved by replacing f_ε with $f_{\varepsilon/2}$ convolved with itself). Certainly, its Fourier coefficients $(\widehat{f_\varepsilon})(m)$ are fastly decaying, i.e. decay faster than any negative power of m .

Let h be the convolution $f_\varepsilon * j$. h is real, even, continuous, and $\widehat{h}(m)$ are non-negative and fastly decaying, certainly in ℓ^1 , hence

$$h(t) = \sum_m \widehat{h}(m) \exp(imt) \quad (30)$$

Moreover, for $\varepsilon < t < \pi - \varepsilon$ f_ε “sees” only the part $\frac{\pi}{2} - t$ of j , and since f_ε is even $h(t) = \frac{\pi}{2} - t$.

Let $c < \pi/(2r)$ and substitute $ct + \pi/2$ for t in (30):

$$h(ct + \pi/2) = \sum_m \widehat{h}(m) \exp(im(ct + \pi/2)), \quad (31)$$

and view this as a series of members of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ (functions on $\mathbf{R}$). On the one hand, any seminorm on $\exp(im(ct + \pi/2))$ grows polynomially in m and the coefficients are fastly decaying, hence the series converges in $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ to $h(ct + \pi/2)$. On the other hand, for ε small enough $t \mapsto ct + \pi/2$ will project $[-r; r]$ into the interval where $h(t) = \frac{\pi}{2} - t$ hence $h(ct + \pi/2) = -ct$. This holds on a neighborhood of $\text{supp } G$. Multiplying by $\frac{i}{c} \exp(-ist)$ we have a series converging in $\mathcal{C}^\infty$, such that in a neighborhood of $\text{supp } G$:

$$-it \exp(-ist) = \frac{i}{c} \sum_m \widehat{h}(m) \exp(i(mc - s)t) \exp(im\pi/2)$$

Applying G , we get in the LHS $(\widehat{G})'(s)$ and in the RHS a combination of $\exp(im\pi/2)\widehat{G}(mc-s)$, which have absolute value ≤ 1 since $|\widehat{G}| \leq 1$ on $\mathbf{R}$, with the non-negative coefficients $\widehat{h}(m)$. Therefore

$$\left|(\widehat{G})'(s)\right| \leq (1/c) \sum_m \widehat{h}(m) = (1/c)h(0) = (1/c)(\pi/2).$$

This holds for any $c < \pi/2r$, and one concludes that $\left|(\widehat{G})'(s)\right| \leq r$.

QED

Thus for real s , for G as in Thm. 5 $\left|(\widehat{G})'(s)\right| \leq r$, hence

$$\frac{1}{|s|} \left|\widehat{G}(s) - \widehat{G}(0)\right| \leq r$$

while on the other hand, since $|\widehat{G}| \leq 1$,

$$\frac{1}{|s|} \left|\widehat{G}(s) - \widehat{G}(0)\right| \leq \frac{2}{|s|}.$$

Thus $(-i/s)(\widehat{G}(s) - \widehat{G}(0))$, which By (25) is just $(\widehat{\int_0} G)$, is an L^2 function on $\mathbf{R}$ with square L^2 norm (w.r.t. Lebesgue) no more than

$$2 \int_0^{2/r} r^2 ds + 2 \int_{2/r}^\infty (2/s)^2 ds = 2 \cdot \frac{2}{r} \cdot r^2 + 2 \cdot 4 \cdot (2/r)^{-1} = 8r.$$

We need to estimate $\langle G, g \rangle$ for $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$. To this end choose $r_2 > r_1 > r$ and a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ function ℓ on $\mathbf{R}$ such that $0 \leq \ell(t) \leq 1$, $\ell \equiv 1$ on $[-r_1; r_1]$ and $\ell \equiv 0$ outside $]-r_2; r_2[$. By (23)

$$|\langle G, g \rangle| = \left| \langle G, \mathbf{1} \rangle \langle \delta, g \rangle + \left\langle \left(\int_0 G \right)', g \right\rangle \right| \leq |\widehat{G}(0)| |g(0)| + \left| \left\langle \int_0 G, g' \right\rangle \right|.$$

g' and $\ell \cdot g'$ coincide on $\text{supp } \int_0 G$. By (20)

$$\left| \left\langle \int_0 G, g' \right\rangle \right| = \left| \left\langle \int_0 G, \ell \cdot g' \right\rangle \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\widehat{\int_0 G} \right)(-s) (\widehat{\ell \cdot g'})(s) ds \right| \leq \left\| \widehat{\int_0 G} \right\|_2 \left\| \widehat{\ell \cdot g'} \right\|_2,$$

and we know that $\left\| \widehat{\int_0 G} \right\|_2 \leq \sqrt{8r}$, while by Plancherel's Theorem $\left\| \widehat{\ell \cdot g'} \right\|_2$ is the L^2 norm of $\ell(t) \cdot g'(t)$ (computed w.r.t. $1/(2\pi)$ times Lebesgue) which with r_1 and r_2 tending to r gives

$$|\langle G, g \rangle| \leq |g(0)| + \sqrt{8r} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-r}^r |g'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

Combining everything with Thm. 5 one finally has

Theorem 6 *Let $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$, $r > 0$ and $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Then*

$$\rho_{r,n}(g_*) \left(\sup_{\|x\| < r} \|g_*^{(n)}(x)\| \right) \leq |g^{(n)}(0)| + \sqrt{8r} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-r}^r |g^{(n+1)}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

Clearly, the same will hold also for g in the Sobolev space which is the completion of the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -functions w.r.t. the RHS norm.

QED